

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,783	03/29/2004	Rob William Henricksen	RGTH.001C1	9482	
20995 7.	590 09/01/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			MACARTHUE	MACARTHUR, VICTOR L	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		3679		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Total		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
All Participants: Status of Application:	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/811,783	WILLIAM				
All Participants: (1) Victor MacArthur. (2) Curtiss Dosier. (3)	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
(1) Victor MacArthur. (2) Curtiss Dosier. (4)		Victor MacArthur	3679				
(2) Curtiss Dosier. (4) Date of Interview: 29 August 2005 Time: Type of Interview: 29 August 2005 Time: Type of Interview: 29 August 2005 Time: Telephonic	All Participants:	Status of Application:					
Date of Interview: 29 August 2005 Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coarse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) Victor MacArthur.	(3)					
Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>Curtiss Dosier</u> .	(4)					
Telephonic Video Conference Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 29 August 2005	Time:					
If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coarse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	□ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Video Conference	nt's representative)					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coarse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600							
Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coarse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	Part I.						
Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coarse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600							
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coerse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coarse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600							
The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate response will follow in due coarse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	Part II.						
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	The examiner informed the applicant's representative that a Request for Reconsideration has been received and that appropriate						
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	Part III.						
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	Daniel P Stodola						
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		SUPERVISORY PA	ATENT EXAMINER				
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	the It to the						
	(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)						